

# Cardiac and Polyvascular Investigations in Acute Stroke Patients



허지회

연세대학교 의과대학 신경과학교실

Ji Hoe Heo

Neurology, Yonsei University, Severance Stroke Center

## Why do we evaluate stroke patients?

**Determination of etiology**

**Outcome prediction  
Prevention strategy**

## Stroke etiologic mechanisms

- Large artery atherosclerosis
- Cardioembolism
- Lacunar infarction (small vessel occlusion)
- Undetermined causes
  - Multiple causes
  - Negative work-up
  - Incomplete evaluation
- Other etiology

## Different outcome according to different stroke mechanism

3278 patients followed for median 3.4 yrs

Mortality

30-day	3.8%
1 year cumulative	10.5%
3 year cumulative	18.4%

(Nam et al. Stroke 2012;43:2948)

## Different long term mortality in patients with multiple mechanisms

3533 patients mean follow-up for 3.9 years

- Coexisting LAA and CE showed the worst outcome
- Outcome of coexisting lacune and LAA or CE group was not significantly different from that of lacune

(Kim et al. Int J Stroke 2013. Epub ahead of print)

### Poor outcome in patients with incomplete evaluation

**Very high long-term mortality when stroke evaluation is incomplete during admission**

- Too sick to undergo studies during hospitalization
- Increased risk of recurrent stroke (cardioembolic, large artery atherosclerosis-related)
- Poor compliance to treatment

*(Nam et al. Stroke 2012;43:2948)*

## Stroke

JOURNAL OF THE AMERICAN HEART ASSOCIATION

### Editorials

#### What Is Certain When the Stroke Etiology Is Uncertain?

Gustavo Saposnik, MD, MSc, FAHA, FRCPC

**“We should make the effort in completing the necessary investigations to determine the stroke mechanism, hopefully before patients are discharged.”**

### ‘Essential’ or ‘necessary’ evaluations in stroke patients

- Brain imaging (CT/MRI)
- Cerebral angiography (CTA, MRA, or DSA)
- ECG

### What else?

## Cardiac evaluation

### Continuous ECG monitoring Echocardiography

### Cardioembolic sources of embolism

High-risk sources	N (%)	Medium-risk sources	N (%)
Atrial fibrillation (AF)	293 (64)	Patent foramen ovale	108 (28)
Mitral stenosis with AF	58 (13)	Spontaneous echo contrast	107 (28)
LA/appendage thrombus	24 (5)	Congestive heart failure	69 (17)
Mechan. prosthetic valve	23 (5)	Lone AF	31 (8)
Dilated cardiomyopathy	15 (3)	Hypokinetic LV segment	28 (7)
Akinetic LV segment	13 (3)	Mitral stenosis without AF	14 (4)
MI within 4 weeks	10 (2)	4 weeks < MI < 6 months	9 (2)
Sick sinus syndrome	10 (2)	Bioprosthetic heart valve	7 (1.5)
LV thrombus	7 (1)	Atrial septal aneurysm	6 (1.5)
Infective endocarditis	4 (1)	Atrial flutter	5 (1)
Atrial myxoma	3 (1)	Mitral valve prolapse	4 (1)
		Nonbacterial endocarditis	3 (0.8)
		Mitral annular calcification	1 (0.2)
<b>Total</b>	<b>458(100)</b>	<b>Total</b>	<b>392 (100)</b>

2482 patients from YSR (Han et al. Cerebrovasc Dis. 2007;24:463)

### Diagnosis of AF is important

- Anticoagulation is highly effective for prevention of stroke in patients with AF
- Better prevention is expected by introduction of new oral anticoagulants

The NEW ENGLAND JOURNAL of MEDICINE

**Direct thrombin inhibitor : Dabigatran (2009;361:1139)**

**Factor Xa inhibitors : Rivaroxanan (2011;365:883)**  
**Apixaban (2011;365:981)**  
**Edoxaban (2013;369:2093)**

### Paroxysmal AF

- A self-terminating recurrent form of AF
- 25-62% of AF
- Stroke risk in patients with PAF determined by surface ECG tracing is similar to that observed in chronic/persistent AF
- Paroxysmal AF may remain underdiagnosed in stroke and TIA on routine 12-lead ECG, which may result in underuse of OA
- Continuous ECG monitoring is helpful for diagnosis of PAF

### Continuous ECG monitoring

#### Noninvasive

Continuous hospital telemetry  
Holter monitor  
Event-triggered ECG recorder  
Mobile cardiovascular telemetry

#### Invasive

Implantable loop recorder  
Pacemaker and defibrillator

### New-onset AF in unselected stroke patients

Table 2. Detection of New-Onset Atrial Fibrillation in Unselected Populations of Stroke and Transient Ischemic Attack Patients

Study, Year	Study Population	Mean Age, y	Detection Methods	Eligible Patients After Excluding Established AF, n	Patients Diagnosed With New AF, n	Detection Rate of New AF, %
Shalqaj et al, 2004 <sup>41</sup>	Stroke	67	Ambulatory ECG (24 h)	210	5	2.4
Yu et al, 2009 <sup>42</sup>	Stroke	75	Ambulatory ECG (24 h)	96	9	9.4
Douven et al, 2008 <sup>43</sup>	Stroke	NM	Inpatient serial ECG (72 h) + ambulatory ECG (72 h)	144	20	13.9
Koudstaal et al, 1986 <sup>44</sup>	TIA	61	Ambulatory ECG (24 h)	96	1	1.0
Shaer et al, 2004 <sup>45</sup>	Stroke/TIA	67	Ambulatory ECG (24 h)	404	9	2.2
Alhadramy et al, 2010 <sup>46</sup>	Stroke/TIA	65	Ambulatory ECG (24 h)	413	11	2.7
Vivanco Hidalgo et al, 2009 <sup>48</sup>	Stroke/TIA	79	Inpatient continuous ECG (55 h)	465	16	3.4
Spasato et al, 2011 <sup>49</sup>	Stroke/TIA	67	Inpatient continuous ECG (5 d)	155	21	13.5
Rem et al, 1985 <sup>51</sup>	Stroke/TIA	66	Inpatient continuous ECG (48 h) + ambulatory ECG (24 h)	171	6	3.5
Stahrenberg et al, 2010 <sup>52</sup>	Stroke/TIA	68	Ambulatory ECG (7 d)	220	28	12.7
Lazaro et al, 2011 <sup>53</sup>	Stroke/TIA	63	Inpatient continuous ECG (48 h) + ambulatory ECG (24 h)	133	8	6.0
Combined				2507	134	5.3

about **5%**

(Seet et al. Circulation 2011;124:477)

### New-onset AF in selected stroke patients

Table 3. Detection of New-Onset Atrial Fibrillation in Selected Populations of Stroke and Transient Ischemic Attack Patients

Study, Year	Study Population	Mean Age, y	Method of Patient Selection	Detection Methods	Eligible Patients After Excluding Established AF, n	Patients Diagnosed With New AF, n	Detection Rate of New AF, %
Schuchert et al, 1999 <sup>44</sup>	Stroke	61	Suspected embolic etiology	Ambulatory ECG (72 h)	82	4	4.9
Dion et al, 2010 <sup>45</sup>	Stroke	49	Cryptogenic stroke + negative 24-h ECG	Implantable loop recorder (14.5 mo)	24	0	0
Jabaudon et al, 2004 <sup>47</sup>	Stroke/TIA	67	Negative 24-h ECG	Ambulatory ECG (7 d)	88	5	5.7
Rizos et al, 2010 <sup>48</sup>	Stroke/TIA	72	Age >60 y	Inpatient continuous ECG (48 h) + ambulatory ECG (24 h)	136	29	21.3
Gaillard et al, 2010 <sup>49</sup>	Stroke/TIA	64	Negative 24-h ECG	Ambulatory ECG (transtelephonic) (30 d)	98	9	9.2
Tayal et al, 2008 <sup>50</sup>	Stroke/TIA	66	Negative 24-h ECG	Ambulatory ECG (MCO2) (21 d)	56	3	5.3*
Eljwisch et al, 2009 <sup>52</sup>	Stroke/TIA	68	Cryptogenic only	Automatic event recorder (30 d)	20	4	20
Barthelemy et al, 2003 <sup>54</sup>	Stroke/TIA	64	Cryptogenic stroke + negative 24-h ECG	Automatic event recorder (4 d)	28	4	14.3
Combined					532	58	10.9

about **11%**

(Seet et al. Circulation 2011;124:477)

### Subclinical tachyarrhythmia and risk of stroke in patients with pacemaker and defibrillator

#### ASSERT trial

- ≥ 65 yrs with hypertension, no history of AF
- Pacemaker for SA or AV node disease or implantable cardioverter defibrillator
- 2580 patients, Mean follow-up for 2.5 y
- Monitor for 3 m to detect subclinical tachyarrhythmia (atrial heart rate >190/min for more than 6 min)
- Subclinical tachyarrhythmias were detected in **10.1%** (261 patients)
- Ischemic stroke developed in 10/261 patients (1.54%/yr)
- Subclinical tachyarrhythmias were associated with increased risk of stroke (HR 2.50, 95% CI 1.28-4.85)

(Healey et al. NEJM 2012;366:120)

### Holter versus continuous ECG monitoring in stroke unit

#### ■ 496 patients with ischemic stroke or TIA and no AF on admission

- Holter monitoring
- Continuous ECG monitoring, when AF is suspected from the monitor trace by a SU staff, then, 12-lead ECG
- Continuous ECG monitoring data were analyzed automatically

#### ■ Detection of PAF: 41 patients (8.3%)

**aCEM > CEM > Holter**  
(92.7%) (65.9%) (34.1%)

(Stroke 2012;42:2689)

### Detection of PAF by continuous ECG monitoring in SU

GW 2002 SU 2003-2008

#### Atrial fibrillation diagnosis

Location	%
General ward	15.7
Stroke unit	20.7

increased identification of AF by 5% after continuous EEG monitoring with monitor trace by a staff in SU

(Choi et al. Yonsei Med J 2013; 54:301)

2013

### AHA/ASA Guideline

#### Guidelines for the Early Management of Patients With Acute Ischemic Stroke

A Guideline for Healthcare Professionals From the American Heart Association/American Stroke Association

**“Cardiac monitoring should be performed for at least the first 24 hours in stroke or TIA patients (Class I, Level of evidence B) “**

### New-onset AF in cryptogenic stroke patients

#### event-triggered recording

Cryptogenic stroke or TIA within the previous 6 m  $\geq 55$  y  
572 patients  
30-day event-triggered recording vs 24-h Holter monitoring

**AF with duration  $\geq 30$  sec within 90 d**  
**16.1% vs 3.1%**  
**95% CI 12.9 (8.0-17.6)**

Detection of AF increased with increasing duration of ECG monitoring

(Gladstone et al. NEJM 2014;370:2467)

### New-onset AF in cryptogenic stroke patients

#### Insertable cardiac monitor

Cryptogenic stroke or TIA within the previous 90 d  $\geq 40$  y (after 24-h Holter & TEE)  
441 patients  
Insertable cardiac monitor for 6 m vs Control (conventional follow-up)

**AF with duration  $\geq 30$  sec within 6 m**  
**6 M : 8.9% vs 1.4%, HR 6.4 95% CI (1.9-21.7)**  
**12 M: 12.4% vs 2.0%, HR 7.3 95% CI (2.6-20.8)**

(Sanna et al. NEJM 2014;370:2478)

### In Cryptogenic stroke

- 24 h Holter monitoring may not be good enough.
- Prolonged ECG monitoring should be considered

### Cardiac evaluation

#### Echocardiography

Transthoracic echocardiography (TTE)  
Transesophageal echocardiography (TEE)

### TTE versus TEE

TTE	TEE
Non-invasive Cheaper Easier to perform	Invasive More expensive Takes longer to perform

Diagnostic yield?

### Detection of PCSE by TTE and TEE

- PCSE was detected in **55%**
- In **39%**, PCSE was only identified on TEE
- Almost all PCSE detected on TTE were also detected on TEE

“This findings support the routine use of TEE in all patients with TIA or stroke of unknown origin”

(de Bruijn et al. Stroke 2006;37:2531)

Potential Cardiac Source	TTE	TEE
<b>Major risk factor</b>		
LA cavity thrombus	0	1 (1%)
LA appendage thrombus	1 (1%)	38 (16%)
LV thrombus	2 (1%)	-
Aortic thrombus	0	-
Dilated cardiomyopathy (LVEF<35%)	5 (2%)	-
Mitral valve stenosis	0	-
<b>Minor risk factors</b>		
Mitral valve prolapse	4 (2%)	-
Mitral annular calcification	4 (2%)	-
Calcified aortic stenosis	8 (3%)	-
<b>Patent foramen ovale</b>		
Spontaneous echo contrast	2 (1%)	12 (5%)
Atrial septal aneurysm	5 (2%)	8 (3%)
LV aneurysm	1 (1%)	-
Aortic aneurysm	0	-
Fallopian tubes	0	-
Aortic plaques	1 (1%)	69 (30%)
Other	2 (1%)	-

LA indicates left atrium; LV, left ventricular; LVEF, left ventricular ejection fraction.  
Percentages below 0.5% are rounded off upwards to 1%.  
\*Identical values.

### TEE in patients with normal sinus rhythm & no cardiac disease history

- **Detection of hidden causes**
- 17.6% Cardioembolic sources
- 27.4% Aortic plaques
- **Potential changes in treatment strategies**
- 1.8% Medication changes
- 1.6% PFO closure (recurrent cryptogenic stroke and PFO)

Findings	No (%)
<b>High-risk potential cardiac sources of embolism</b>	
Left atrial thrombus	45 (2.5)
Left atrial thrombus	10 (0.5)
Dilated cardiomyopathy	10 (0.5)
Akinetic left ventricular segment	9 (0.5)
Left ventricular thrombus	8 (0.4)
Infective endocarditis	4 (0.2)
Atrial myxoma	4 (0.2)
<b>Medium-risk potential cardiac sources of embolism</b>	
Patent foramen ovale	310 (16.9)
Patent foramen ovale	223 (12.2)
Left atrial swelling	33 (1.8)
Hypokinetic left ventricular segment	23 (1.3)
Mitral stenosis without atrial fibrillation	1 (0.1)
Atrial septal aneurysm	9 (0.5)
Mitral valve prolapse	3 (0.2)
Mitral annular calcification	4 (0.2)
Non-bacterial endocarditis	2 (0.1)
Lambl excrescences	6 (0.3)
Atrial septal defect	6 (0.3)

(Cho et al. J Neurol Neurosurg Psychiatry 2010;81:412)

### Do we need TEE in patients with AF

266 patients with AF and who had undergone TEE

- 68% at least one concomitant PCSE
- Patients with concomitant PCSE**
- Initially severe stroke median NIHSS 6 vs 3
- Larger infarct size

Concomitant potential cardiac sources of embolism	Number (%)
<b>High-risk potential cardiac sources of embolism</b>	
Left atrial thrombus	80 (30.1)
Left atrial thrombus	42 (15.8)
Mitral stenosis with atrial fibrillation	31 (11.7)
Mechanical prosthetic valve	13 (4.9)
Left ventricular thrombus	4 (1.5)
Sick sinus syndrome	2 (0.8)
Dilated cardiomyopathy	2 (0.8)
Myocardial infarction within 4 weeks	1 (0.4)
Infective endocarditis	1 (0.4)
<b>Medium-risk potential cardiac sources of embolism</b>	
Spontaneous echo contrast	122 (45.9)
Congestive heart failure	41 (15.2)
Patent foramen ovale	17 (6.4)
Bioprosthetic valve	4 (1.5)
Hypokinetic left ventricular segment	5 (1.9)
Mitral valve prolapsed	3 (1.1)
Mitral annular calcification	3 (1.1)
Atrial flutter	3 (1.1)
Nonbacterial endocarditis	1 (0.4)

(Kim et al. J Neurol Sci 2010;298:23)

### Echocardiography in stroke

- TEE is superior to TTE in detecting cardioembolic sources
- Etiology can be determined in many cryptogenic stroke patients
- Therapeutic yield is not high based on current guidelines
  - In the future ?
  - NOAC

### Anything we are missing?



- Cerebrovascular disease
- Coronary artery disease (CAD)
- Peripheral artery disease (PAD)

## Anything we are missing?

Cerebrovascular disease  
Coronary artery disease  
Peripheral artery Disease (LEG)

## CHD is a major cause of death after ischemic stroke

**Meta-analysis**  
65,996 patients. Mean follow-up for 3.5 yrs  
Annual fatal MI **1.1%** (Total MI 2.2%)  
*(Touzé et al. Stroke 2005;36:2748)*

**Northern Manhattan Study**  
655 patients. Median follow-up for 4 yrs  
5-yr fatal cardiac events **6.4%** (Fatal stroke 3.7%)  
*(Dharmoon et al. Neurology 2006;66:641)*

**SPARCL**  
4731 patients. Mean follow-up for 4.9 yrs  
Major coronary events **5.1%** (cardiac death, nonfatal MI, resuscitated cardiac arrest):  
*(Amarenco et al. Stroke 2010;41:426)*

**YSR**  
3278 patients. Mean follow-up for 3.4 yrs  
Fatal Ischemic heart disease **3.3%** (Fatal stroke 12.3%)  
*(Nam et al. Stroke 2012;43:2948)*

About **1%** of stroke or TIA patients died of CHD every year

## Frequency of asymptomatic CAD in stroke patients

Diagnostic tool	Patient No.	any CAD	≥50% stenosis	Reference
Autopsy	188	68.2%	29.3%	Gongora-Rivera et al. Stroke 2007;38:1203
Coronary CTA	71		25.4%	Seo et al. Eur Heart J 2008;29:292
Coronary CTA	274	48%	18%	Callet et al. Circulation 2010;121:1623
Coronary angiography	315	61.9%	25.7%	Amarenco et al. Stroke 2011;42:22
Coronary CTA	1304	70.4%	33.1%	Yoo et al. Cerebrovasc Dis. 2012;33:286

## Different relationship of cerebral atherosclerosis with CAD between cerebral arteries

	Cerebral artery atherosclerosis No CAD (n=679)	CAD (n=377)	OR, 95% CI	P-value
<b>Extracranial carotid</b>	54 (8.0)	71 (18.8)	<b>2.685</b> (1.838 - 3.925)	<b>&lt;0.001</b>
<b>Intracranial carotid</b>	28 (4.1)	33 (8.8)	<b>2.230</b> (1.326 - 3.753)	<b>0.002</b>
<b>Extracranial vertebral</b>	108 (15.9)	80 (21.2)	<b>1.624</b> (1.033 - 1.994)	<b>0.031</b>
<b>Intracranial vertebral</b>	43 (6.3)	55 (14.6)	<b>2.524</b> (1.458 - 3.948)	<b>&lt;0.001</b>
<b>Basilar</b>	24 (3.5)	28 (7.4)	<b>2.190</b> (1.250 - 3.855)	<b>0.005</b>
Middle cerebral	149 (21.9)	83 (22.0)	1.024 (0.741 - 1.361)	<b>0.978</b>
Anterior cerebral	34 (5.0)	22 (5.8)	1.176 (0.617 - 2.041)	<b>0.565</b>
Posterior cerebral	77 (11.3)	37 (9.8)	0.851 (0.542 - 1.287)	<b>0.444</b>

## Reasons of different relationship of coronary atherosclerosis with different cerebral arteries

Lack of vasa vasorum in intracranial arteries  
**Similarity between coronary and extracranial arteries**

Vasa vasorum is associated with atherosclerosis

**Initiation of plaque formation**  
Responsible for transporting inflammatory cells into the adventitia, which progresses inward

**Progression and instability of plaques**  
Intraplaque hemorrhage is caused by rupture of fragile vasa vasorum

## Who are at risk of CAD?

More than 2 risk factors  
Carotid and VBA stenosis

Risk Factor (RF)	Stenosis	Significant CAD	Any CAD
RF<2	No stenosis	21	60
RF<2	CA and VBA stenosis	40	71
RF≥2	No stenosis	33	71
RF≥2	CA and VBA stenosis	69	96

*(Yoo et al. Cerebrovasc Dis. 2012;33:286)*

### Detection of preclinical CAD can change outcome?

CHD risk can be substantially reduced by atorvastatin in patients with stroke or TIA

[Amarenco et al. Stroke 2010;41:426]

One of every 16 patients received major interventional treatment

[Yoo et al. Cerebrovasc Dis 2012;33:286]

## Heart CT versus TEE for detecting cardiac sources of embolism

### Most PCSE detected on TEE can be also detected by Heart CT

137 Patients who underwent both Heart CT and TEE

Heart CT for detecting cardiac sources of embolism

**Sensitivity 89%**  
**Specificity 100%**

[Hur et al. Stroke 2009;40:2073]

Findings	CT	TEE
<b>High-risk sources</b>		
Thrombus*		
LAA	11	11
LA	1	1
LV	0	0
Cardiac tumor	0	0
Valvular vegetation	1	2
Infective endocarditis	0	0
Aortic atherosclerosis (AA or arch)	31	33
<b>Medium risk sources</b>		
Circulatory stasis/SECT	15	15
FFO/ASD	17 (14/3)	22 (19/3)
ASA	8	11
Mitral annular calcification	5	5
No abnormality	57	46

AA indicates ascending aorta.  
\*Includes thrombus combined with circulatory stasis.  
†Includes circulatory stasis without thrombus.  
‡Includes 4 FFO combined with ASA.

## Heart CT versus TEE

### Can Heart CT replace TEE?

## Anything we are missing?

Cerebrovascular disease

Coronary artery disease

Peripheral artery disease (LEG)

## Frequency of PAD

**31%** (204 patients with infarction or TIA, Busch et al. Stroke 2009;40:3700)

**19.8%** (653 patients with ischemic stroke, Eur J Neurol 2013 online)

**27%** (1131 patients with ischemic stroke, Manzano et al. Atherosclerosis 2012;223:219)

**14.8%** (176 patients with infarction or TIA, Tsvigoulis et al. Atherosclerosis 2012;220:407)

**33%** (755 patients with ischemic stroke, Agnelli et al. J Thromb Hemostasis 2006;4:2599)

**10.1%** (798 patients with infarction excluding malignancy, SOD [Kim et al. Atherosclerosis 2012;224:113])

**7.4%** (1147 patients with infarction, Lee et al. Eur J Neurol 2012;19:892)

### High mortality in patients with PAD in legs

1147 patients at 1 Y  
**16.3% vs. 4.7%**  
**HR 2.328**  
*(Lee et al. Eur J Neurol 2012;19:892)*

653 patients, median 34 M follow-up  
**18.2% vs. 5.9%**  
**HR 2.55**  
*(Eur J Neurol 2013; online)*

**More frequent stroke recurrence?  
Initially severe stroke?**

### Is stroke recurrence rate higher in patients with PAD in legs?

**15% vs 10%**, 1 yr follow-up  
(1131 patients with ischemic stroke, Manzano et al. Atherosclerosis 2012;223:219)

**19.2% vs 3.3%**, 1 month follow-up  
(176 patients with infarction or TIA, Tsigoulis I et al. Atherosclerosis 2012;220:407)

**6.8 % vs 4.4% (p=0.3)**  
(204 patients with infarction or TIA, Busch et al. Stroke 2009;40:3700)

**10.9 % vs 9.4% (p=0.6)**, 2.3 yrs follow-up  
(653 patients with ischemic stroke, Eur J Neurol 2013 online)

**OR 1.5 (0.85-2.26)**  
(755 patients with ischemic stroke, Agnelli et al. J Thromb Hemostat 2006;4:2599)

**Yes**

**No**

### PAD in legs and Functional outcome and initial stroke severity

775 patients  
**Poor functional outcome (mRS>2) at 3 months**  
**HR = 2.523, 95% CI 1.330-4.785**  
*(Kim et al. Atherosclerosis 2012;224:113)*

1147 patients  
**Initially severe stroke**  
**NIHSS 6.6 vs 4.4**  
**More severe leg weakness**  
(1.22 ± 1.459 vs. 0.63 ± 1.032, <0.001)  
*(Lee et al. Eur J Neurol 2012;19:892)*

### PAD in arms

834 patients  
Measured interarm difference of BP (IAD) using ABI  
Mean follow-up for 2.96 ± 0.95 yrs

**10%** systolic IAD ≥ 10 mmHg  
**6.0%** diastolic IAD ≥ 10 mmHg

**Large IAD was associated with increased risk of all-cause and cardiovascular mortality**  
*(Kim et al. Neurology 2013;80:1457)*

- Blood pressures should be measured bilaterally to diagnosis and treat hypertension properly
- PAD either in arms or legs is associated with increased risk of mortality

### Anything we are missing?

- Cerebrovascular disease
- Peripheral artery disease (ARM)
- Coronary artery disease
- Peripheral artery disease (LEG)

### 'Essential' or 'necessary' evaluations in stroke patients

- Brain imaging (CT/MRI)
- Cerebral angiography (CTA, MRA, or DSA)
- ECG

### What else?

- Continuous ECG monitoring
- Echocardiography
- Heart CT
- Ankle-Brachial index

## Take home messages

- We should make every effort to determine stroke mechanism
- Continuous ECG monitoring should be performed in all patients without previous AF
- Paroxysmal AF is common in cryptogenic stroke
- TEE is superior to TTE for detecting cardiac sources, but therapeutic yield of TEE is low
- Coronary artery disease should be evaluated, particularly in patients with multiple risk factors and/or carotid and VB artery stenosis.
- Heart CT may be alternatively used instead of TEE
- PAD is associated with initially severe stroke, less recovery, poor functional outcome and high long term mortality
- ABI is useful for screening PAD
- Interarm difference of blood pressures (PAD in arms) should be concerned. Blood pressures should be measured bilaterally



The advertisement features a background image of the Severance Hospital building at night. On the left, the text "Thank you" is displayed in white. On the right, there is a graphic for a mobile application. At the top right of the graphic, it says "Download now" and "뇌졸중 119" (Stroke 119) with the subtitle "스마트폰 뇌졸중 119" (Smartphone Stroke 119). Below this, several smartphones are shown displaying the app's interface, which includes a map and a patient profile. At the bottom of the graphic, the text reads: "App that aids stroke screening and identifying nearby acute stroke care hospitals".